

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,144		09/11/2003	Eric L. Barsness	ROC920030195US1	4977
30206	7590	03/23/2006		EXAMINER	
IBM CORF		=	MIZRAHI, DIANE D		
ROCHESTE 3605 HIGHV		W DEPT. 917 NORTH	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		55901-7829		2165	
				DATE MAILED: 03/23/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary			10/660,144	BARSNESS ET	BARSNESS ET AL.	
			Examiner	Art Unit		
		i	DIANE D. MIZRAHI	2165		
The M Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commu	nication appe	ars on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress	
WHICHEVER - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MC - If NO period for - Failure to reply v Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F R IS LONGER, FROM THE M ne may be available under the provision NTHS from the mailing date of this com reply is specified above, the maximum s within the set or extended period for repl ed by the Office later than three months erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA's of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS COMMUN (a). In no event, however, may a l apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	IICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) Respor	nsive to communication(s) fil	ed on				
·			action is non-final.			
· '=	his application is in condition	<i>,</i> —		tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is	
•	in accordance with the pract			•		
Disposition of C	laims					
<u> </u>	s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the	application.				
•	he above claim(s) is/a		n from consideration.			
	s) is/are allowed.					
· <u> </u>	s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.			·		
· ·	s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s	s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Pap	ers					
9)☐ The spe	cification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.		•		
•	wing(s) filed on <u>11 Septemb</u>			objected to by the Exa	miner.	
•	nt may not request that any obje			•		
	ment drawing sheet(s) including				FR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oatl	h or declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	miner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119					
<i>'</i> —	ledgment is made of a claim	for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
•	b) Some * c) None of:	r documente	have been received			
	Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority		`	Application No.		
	Copies of the certified copies			•••	l Stane	
· ·	pplication from the Internation	•	=	ir received in this ivational	Glage	
	attached detailed Office action			t received.		
230						
Attachment(s)						
	ences Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) D Notice of Drafts	person's Patent Drawing Review (I		Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	O 152)	
3) Information Dis Paper No(s)/Ma	closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or mill Date	PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PT	U-192)	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/660,144

Art Unit: 2165

III. DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-25 are presented for examination and are pending.

<u>Drawings</u>

The Examiner contends that the drawings submitted on September 11, 2003 are acceptable for examination proceedings, only. The drawings are difficult to read.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Examiner is unclear as to what Applicant means by the claimed, "it". All claims should be checked by Applicant for the limitation, "it". Further clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and

Application/Control Number: 10/660,144 Page 3

Art Unit: 2165

useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to a non-statutory subject matter, specifically, the claims are not directed towards the final result that is "useful, tangible and concrete (See State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

According to the New Guidelines of October 26, 2005, which states that "A claim limited to a machine or manufacture, which has a practical application, is statutory. In most cases a claim to a specific machine or manufacture will have a practical application. See Alappat, 33 F.3d at 1544, 31 USPQ2d at 1557)... a specific machine to produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result (State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

(Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility
m<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.0.kbg76pbab.p9qiiibab.7440&p
=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.uspto.gov%2Fweb%2Foffices%2Fpac%2Fdapp%2Fopla%
2Fpreognotice%2Fguidelines101 20051026.pdf>)

Examiner requests Applicant to include in Applicant's claimed limitations (in all the claims) the following:

Claim limitation describing --

1. What is the practical application?

Application/Control Number: 10/660,144

Art Unit: 2165

2. What is final result which Applicant considers concrete, useful and tangible?

Because the "practical application, result, concrete, useful and tangible" limitations are not claimed in Applicant's claims, Examiner asserts that the above listed claims are nonstatutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kazuhiko Mogi et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 20030093442 Al and Mogi hereinafter).

Art Unit: 2165

Regarding Claims 1, 12, 18 and 22, Mogi teaches a method of optimizing the execution of queries in a system, comprising: monitoring queries executed in a primary processing environment; selecting a query to be optimized, [0012][0067] from the monitored queries[0067]; generating a plurality of access plans for the selected query; and determining an optimal access plan by executing the query[0112-0013][0198] in a background processing environment using the generated access plans [0012].

Regarding Claim 2, Mogi teaches wherein the system is a logically partitioned computer system, the primary processing environment comprises one or more primary logical partitions, and the background processing environment comprises one or more background logical partitions [0100].

Regarding Claim 3, Mogi teaches wherein determining an optimal access plan comprises: repeatedly executing the query in the background processing environment using each of the plurality of access plans, recording a corresponding execution time for each; and selecting, as the optimal access plan, an access plan with a lowest corresponding execution time [0111].

Regarding Claims 4-6, Mogi teaches the limitations are

Art Unit: 2165

similar in scope to the rejected claims above. In addition, Mogiteaches ... a specified threshold execution time

[0089] [0091] [0110] [0112]

Regarding Claims 7-8, Mogi, the limitations are similar in scope to the rejected claims above. In addition, Mogi teaches storing executed queries in a cache... [0066] [0121] [0125] [0128].

Regarding Claims 9-11, 13-17, 19-21 and 23-25, these claims are similar in scope to the rejected claims above and are therefore rejected as set forth above.

Other Prior Art Made of Record

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will not be supplied with Office actions. Examiners advises the Applicant that the cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. For the use of the Office's PAIR system, Applicants may refer to the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane D. Mizrahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 305-3900 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Diane Mizrahi Primary Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100

March 15, 2005